Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/079,741	KWOK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	(3)	
(2) James P Janniello, Reg. No. 54,197.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 June 2009</u>	Time: <u>2:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 36-37		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Sathyanarayan Pannala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's Representative to discussed the possible rejection of claims 36-37 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and suggested how to overcome the rejection. Applicant agreed in consultation with client and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment in order to expedite the prosecution.